



CHIEF MINISTER
ANDHRA PRADESH

Dt: 21st March, 2017

24/3/17
Shri Ravi Shankar Prasad ji,

I am in receipt of the proposal sent by the Acting Chief Justice of the High Court of Hyderabad for the appointment of Additional Judges along with the documents, soliciting my opinion regarding the suitability of D.V.S.S. Somayajulu, Smt Kongara Vijayalakshmi, Mr T.Amarnath Gowd, Abhinadkumar Shavili, M.Ganga Rao, ^{and} P.Kesava Rao from the Bar Quota for elevation as the Additional Judges of the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad. Having perused the record and other relevant material I am herewith offering my opinion (enclosed).

with regards,

Yours sincerely,

NARA CHANDRABABU NAIDU

Please send this to CSI (Sri P. Vasu Sharma) whom to refer the Callasuram as a hon already been submitted to SEC.

*mye
24/3/17*

Encl. As above

*DS(67) Ans
24-3-17
DS(VG)*

Shri Ravi Shankar Prasad
Hon'ble Minister of Law & Justice
Government of India

Opinion with reference to letter B.O.D. No. 2/2016 dated 30.04.2016 by the Chief Justice of the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh-- Regarding:

1. Consequent to the bifurcation of the State of Andhra Pradesh on 02.06.2014, into the state of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh the Supreme Court of India through the Ministry of Law and Justice on 28.04.2016 forwarded its recommendation to allocate the existing Judge strength in the ratio of 60:40, after seeking individual options from the learned Judges, after that individual options were obtained and sent to the competent authority for finalization. The collegium shall recommend the names of the advocates for elevation as judges of the High Court taking into account the final allocation of the incumbent judges to both the states. The collegium of the High court of Judicature at Hyderabad recommended 6 advocates for elevation as the Judges of the High Court, without there being final allocation of the existing Judge strength. Already there is a lot of bad blood between the States of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh including its advocates over the distribution of assets of the unified state of Andhra Pradesh. The recommendation of the collegium without waiting for finalization of the individual options given earlier by the learned Judges will have the tendency to further widen the physical and psychological divide between both the states including its legal fraternity.
2. The recommendation for elevation of 6 advocates as the Judges was done in an hurried manner, just prior to the transfer of Justice Dilip Babasaheb Bhosale as the Chief Justice of the Allahabad High Court and on the eve of the retirement of Justice G.Chandraiah another member of the Collegium. Five out of the 6 recommendees are either the Juniors of the Judges or their near ones. They were recommended for elevation without there being any objective assessment regarding their honesty, integrity and professional caliber, by ignoring their unsuitability for elevation as the judges to the Higher Judiciary. Any of them possess the sterling quality and character suitable for elevation as the judges of the High Court. Opinion regarding the individual recommendees is as follows:

125

ii). V.S.S. Somayajulu

The recommendee Mr. V.S.S. Somayajulu is the son of Mr. D.V. Subbarao who was the Chairman of the Bar Council of India from 17.4.2010 to 16.4.2011. At that time Justice Biju Bhargava Bhaskar functioned as its Vice-Chairman. Mr. Somayajulu is a practitioner before the District courts at Visakhapatnam, with no lucrative practice there also. He did not file even a single case in the High Court, as the list of cases compiled by the High Court is a solid testimony to this fact. He is well known for judicial maneuvering in visakhapatnam with no personal integrity and professional capabilities. He is notorious for subjecting his female colleagues to the sexual harassment at their place of work. He does not possess any of the qualities of impeccable personal integrity and extraordinary professional merit required for elevation. The yardstick adopted by the collegium members to recommend the elevation of an advocate practicing before Visakhapatnam courts, having no reported judgments to his credit, who commands lucrative practice neither in the High Court nor in Visakhapatnam is not known.

iii). Smt Kongara Vijayalakshmi :

She neither commanded good practice nor exhibited extraordinary professional skills at any point in her career, having only two reported judgments to her credit till now. She filed only 194 cases and 75 vakalaths from the date of her enrolment. In the last 5 years from 2012-16, she filed only 91 cases. She neither commands good volume of work nor possessed exceptional professional skills and she is not suitable to be elevated as the judge of the High Court.

iii). Mr T. Amarnath Gowd :

Another Recommendee Mr. T. Amarnath Gowd is the nephew of the retired Judge of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh. He neither commands lucrative practice nor proven skills in advocacy. He filed 1545 cases and 366 vakalaths from the date of his enrolment and in the last 5 years from 2012-16 he filed only 123 cases. He is not only lacking in the intellectual caliber but also the personal and professional integrity. He is not suitable to be elevated as the judge of the High court.

1-2

iv) Abhinadkumar Shrivastava

Another recommendee Abhinadkumar Shrivastava worked as a junior in the chambers of Justice Justice Rama Mohan Rao, who was transferred to the High Court of Madras.

He is also a reason behind the transfer of his senior from the High Court of Hyderabad to the High Court of Madras. He commanded neither the good practice nor the extraordinary professional skills. He filed 1518 cases and 414 vakalaths from the date of his enrolment and in the last 5 years from 2012-16, he filed 262 cases. Due to the lack of personal and professional integrity among other things he is not suitable to be elevated as the High Court Judge.

v) M.Ganga Rao :

Another recommendee M.Ganga Rao was junior to Justice C.V.Ramulu former Judge of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh. He neither commanded practice nor the professional acumen. He filed 736 cases and 394 vakalaths from the date of his enrolment and in the last 5 years from 2012-16, he filed only 123 cases. He is known for his poor professional and communicative skills and he is not suitable for elevation as the Judge.

vi) P.Kesava Rao :

Mr P.Kesava Rao commands a better practice when compared to the other recommendees. Five out of the 6 recommendees are either the relatives of the Judges, their juniors or their near ones, neither commanding the good volume of work nor possessing the extraordinary professional skills. While there is no bar for elevation of the relatives, juniors and near ones of the Judges, when they are found to be eligible and suitable. When they are not suitable, then they shall also be treated on par with the other advocates, otherwise it may have the tendency to strengthen the general opinion that only the relatives of the Judges and their juniors shall only be elevated as the judges to the Higher Judiciary. It is imperative to dispel the general impression that only a privileged few will be considered for elevation as the Judges of the Higher Judiciary.



SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
TO THE DEPT. SECRETARY
NEW DELHI - 110 001
Phone : 011-2379 6772

CONFIDENTIAL

Respected *Chief Justice*.

March 23, 2017

Thank you very much for giving me an opportunity by your letter dated 08.01.17 to offer my views on the suitability of Smt. Kongara Vijayalakshmi, Sri P. Kesava Rao, Sri Manthoj Ganga Rao, Sri D.V.S. Somayajulu, Sri Abhinand Kumar Shavili and Sri T. Amarnath Goud, Advocates for appointment as Judges of the Telangana and Andhra Pradesh High Court.

It is the need of the hour to have an impartial Judiciary manned by persons of high standard of integrity both in professional and personal front with undaunting courage who can dispense justice with utmost commitment.

The recommendation for elevation of these six advocates seems to have been made in a very hasty manner giving go bye to the minimum requisites expected from a Judge. The recommendation has been made without assessing their honesty, integrity and professional caliber.

At the very outset, I am of the opinion that these names deserve to be rejected for the following reasons:

Firstly, consequent upon bifurcation of the erstwhile State of Andhra Pradesh in to the States of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh, the Supreme Court of India approved the proposal of the Government to allocate the revised judge-strength of 61 in the ratio of 60:40. Chief Justice of the High Court took options from the sitting Judges and forwarded the same to the Minister for Law & Justice, Government of India for concurrence of the Chief Justice of India but the decision on the issue as to how High Court Judges of the common High

Courts are to be allocated to the two States - whether on the basis of options given by them or on the basis of their nativity - is pending with the Supreme Court. High Court Collegium is supposed to recommend names of Advocates for elevation to the High Court Bench after allocation of the incumbent Judges to both States. But without waiting for final decision on the issue, High Court Collegium has recommended names of 6 Advocates for elevation as Judges. Both the States, including its advocates are at logger heads at the distribution of the assets. These recommendations of the High Court Collegium without waiting for the finalization of individual options given by the learned Judges will have far reaching consequences and it will widen the gap between the legal fraternity of both the States.

Secondly, these recommendations were made a year ago. At the relevant time, the Acting Chief Justice who headed the Collegium knew that he was going to be elevated as the Chief Justice of Allahabad High Court and regular appointment of the Chief Justice was on the way.

Thirdly, it was also very much in the knowledge of the then Acting Chief Justice that another member of the Collegium was due to retire by 9.5.2016.

Fourthly, the composition of the Collegium which made these recommendations has since undergone substantial change.

I would like to mention that most of the recommendees are in the age group of 52-57 years and have not so far made a mark in the profession and showed their worth and suitability for elevation to the Bench. They would have very less time to serve on the Bench. Instead, in my view, catching younger but qualifying and deserving bar members for being appointed to the Bench would be more fruitful and advantageous to the institution in the long run.

In fact, it has come to my notice that many deserving bar members are learnt to have been left out, and on account of that various Bar Associations/Groups have deep resentment and anguish over almost all the names recommended.

My specific views about suitability or otherwise of the six recommendees are as under:

Smt. Kongara Vijayalakshmi:

Smt. K. Vijayalakshmi, worked as a junior in the chambers of Mr. Justice J. Chelameswar, the sitting Judge of the Supreme Court of India as per her own disclosure made in Annexure-1 (i). She has neither commanded good practice nor exhibited exceptional professional skills at any point in her 31 years professional career. She is stated to have only two reported judgments to her credit during last five years that too in one case she only assisted the Senior Advocate and the other case is a civil revision petition where her contribution was only citing some judgments. As per information available on High Court's website, she has filed only 194 cases and 75 vakalats from the date of her enrolment till now. In the last 5 years (2012-16), she has filed only 91 cases. The recommendee does not command good practice; nor does she possess exceptional professional skill required to make her suitable to be elevated as a Judge of the High Court.

(ii) Mr. P. Kesava Rao:

Mr. P. Kesava Rao commands better practice when compared to the other recommendees.

(iii) Mr. M. Ganga Rao:

The recommendee worked in the chambers of Justice C.V. Ramulu, former Judge of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh and he commands neither good practice nor professional acumen. As per information available on High Court's website, he has filed 736 cases and 394 vakalats from the date of his enrolment till now. In the last 5 years (2012-16), he has been able to file only 123 cases. He is known for his poor professional and communicative skill and is, therefore, not suitable for elevation as a Judge.

The recommendee Shri D.V.S. Somayajulu is the son of Mr. D.V. Subba Rao, who worked as Chairman of the Bar Council of India from 17.04.2000 - 16.04.2004, when Justice Dilip Babasaheb Bhosale happened to be the Vice-Chairman of the Bar Council of India.

Mr. Somayajulu is a practicing advocate before the District Courts at Visakhapatnam. He never filed any case before the High Court. Just prior to the Collegium's recommendation, he was facilitated to argue on behalf of Mr. N. Ashwani Kumar, who is a junior to his cousin Mr. D.V. Sitarama Murthy, that too only before the two learned Judges of the Collegium and not before the third member of the Collegium. Further, he simply furnished a list by making a vague claim stating "List of reported judgments of the Supreme Court and High Courts in which I was associated with", verification of the same would reveal that he has not argued those cases.

There are allegations that he subjects his female colleagues to sexual harassment. There are also allegations that he misappropriated funds of an organization for which he was an office bearer.

He is not a man of impeccable personal integrity and does not possess exceptional professional capabilities required for elevation. What prompted the Collegium members to recommend for elevation of an advocate practising before Visakhapatnam courts is not known. Objective assessment/evaluation regarding his suitability for holding a position in the higher judiciary is missing in the recommendation. It appears he never argued cases which are appended to his profile.

(V) **Mr. Abhinand Kumar Shavili:**

Mr. Abhinandkumar Shavili worked as a Junior in the chambers of Mr. Justice Nooty Rama Mohan Rao, who has been recently transferred to the High Court of Madras. One among the reasons for the transfer of his senior from his

part of the Court to the High Court of Madras was that the recommendee misused the office of his senior for personal gains.

Even according to the Collegium recommendation, the recommendee has moderate practice. He also lacks extraordinary professional skill. As per information available on High Court's website, the recommendee has filed 1513 cases and 414 vakalats from the date of his enrolment till now. In the last 5 years (2012-16), he has filed 262 cases. Due to lack of personal and professional integrity, among other things, the recommendee is not suitable.

(v) **Mr. T. Amarnath Goud:**

Mr. T. Amarnath Goud is the nephew of the retired Judge of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh Justice V. Eswaraiah. There are numerous allegations against him that he abused the office of Justice Eswaraiah and acquired real estate properties jointly with the family members of Justice Eswaraiah.

As per information available on High Court's website, he has till now filed 1545 cases and 366 vakalats from the date of his enrolment. In the last 5 years (2012-16) he has filed only 123 cases. He lacks intellectual caliber, personal and professional integrity expected from a person aspiring to be a Judge.

Five out of the six recommendees are either the scions of the Judges or their juniors or their near ones. There is no bar for elevation of such persons but what is expected from them is that they must have good potential to prove that their elevation would be conducive to good administration of justice. If they lack and do not enjoy good reputation for integrity, conduct and character, then they should be treated on par with the other advocates; otherwise it may have the tendency to fortify the general opinion that only the scions of the Judges and their juniors shall only be elevated as the Judges to the higher judiciary. It has become necessary to dispel the general impression that only a selected few will be considered for elevation as Judges of the higher judiciary.

I am, therefore, of the considered opinion that it would be most appropriate if the entire proposal is turned down and future appointments in

the High Court are made only after fresh recommendations by regular Chief Justice after taking into consideration all relevant particulars, especially the professional and personal integrity of the prospective candidates so that only deserving persons are elevated to the High Court Bench.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



(N.V. RAMANA)

Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India,
5, Krishna Menon Marg,
New Delhi 110 011

Declaration of Assets in the form of real estate or investments as per the Full Court resolution (Supreme Court of India) dated 7th May, 1997 adopted by the Full Court of High Court of Delhi on 26th July, 1997 and re-iterated on 8th July, 2008.

- A. Name of Judge: Justice N.V. Ramana
- B. Name of Spouse: Mrs. N. Sivamala
- C. Name(s) of Dependent Family Members and relationship:
- C.1 Dependant No.1: Ms. N.S. Bhuvana (Daughter)
- C.2 Dependant No.2: Ms. N.V. Tanuja (Daughter)
- C.3 Dependant No. 3: Mrs. N. Sarojini Devi (Mother)

1. Real Estate (immoveable property)

1.1 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Plot No.331/2RT, S.R. Nagar, Hyderabad (266 Sq. yds. With built up area of 4000 Sft.)	Acquired in the name of self and spouse vide Registered document dated 16.04.1994
2.	247.25 Sq. mtrs. plot at Noida	Allotted by High Court Judges Sahakari Avas Samithi Limited, on lease basis vide Registered document dated 20.04.2010
3.	One-fourth share in agricultural land (HUF) out of an extent of Acs.9.64 cents in Sy. No.151/2A, 151/2B, 151/2B2, Ponnaram village, Veerlapadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Ancestral property
4.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.3.02 cents in Sy. No.26-2B, 26-2C, Mirthipadu village, Sitanagaram Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 19.08.1997

1.2 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's Spouse

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Two house plots of 1652 Sq.yds. in Sy. Nos.24 and 25, Peerancheruvu village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy Distt.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 27.06.2007
2.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.6.02 cents in Sy. No.26/2A, 22/2, 27/1 of Mirthipadu village, Sitanagaram Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired vide Registered documents dated 22.05.1996, 07.06.1996, 20.06.1996 and 24.07.1996

3.	220 Sq.yds plot in Koppuravuru village, Pedakakani Mandal, Guntur District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 03.01.1996
4.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.2.30 cents in Sy. No.115/B, Kanchikacherla Village and Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 06.07.2005
5.	One-third share in an extent of Acs.6.00 in Sy. No.393/3, Konthamuru village, Kolamuru revenue village, Rajahmundry Rural Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired through inheritance
6.	Agricultural land in an extent of Acs.4.00, Dharmaram village, Keesara village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 03.05.1999
7.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.3.00 in Sy. No.53/A, 54, Dharmaram village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.	Agreement of Sale entered into in July, 2013.

1.3 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant No.1

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Agricultural land to an extent of Ac.0.17 cents in R.S. No.6/4, Velpur village, Kanikipadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 11.07.2013
2.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.5.07 cents in Sy. No.167, Gottimukala village, Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 22.07.2008
3.	Agricultural land to an extent of Ac.0.70 cents in R.S. No.6/5, Velpur village, Kankipadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Agreement of Sale entered into in July, 2013.

1.4 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant No.2

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Agricultural land to an extent of Ac. 0.87 cents in R.S. No.6/4, Velpur village, Kanikipadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide deed dated 11.07.2013

1.5 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant No.3

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	395.83 Sq. yds. Plot, Pedakakani village and Mandal, Guntur District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 11.01.2007
2.	495 Sq. yds. Plot, in Sy. No.53, 54, 55(Part) in Phase 9 of Kukatpally Housing Board, Kukatpally village, Ranga Reddy District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 26.09.2003
3.	1307.8 Sq.yds. plot situated in demarcation/Sy. No. 104 of Kanuru village, Patamata, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 13.12.2000

2. Investments

2.1 Investments of the Judge

2.1.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.1.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.1.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Amount	Remarks
1.	State Bank of Hyderabad	Rs. 23,01,350/-	
2.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs. 10,033/-	
3.	Savings in GPF Account	Rs. 64,00,000/-	
4.	Saving Account, UCO Bank, Delhi High Court	Rs. 6,13,671/-	
Insurance Policies			
5.	LIC policy (since 2001)	Premium -Rs.37,949/- per annum	

2.2 Investments of the Judge's Spouse

2.2.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
1.	HBL Power System	1000	
2.	Intertec Comm Equity	200	

3.	Kernex Microsys – Equity	60	
4.	Sagarsoft (I) Ltd – Equity	700	
5.	Shree Rama M-Tech Equity	1200	
6.	Tata Teleservices	1000	
7.	Telphoto Ent – New Equity	85	
8.	Vantel Technologies	1500	
9.	CCL Products India Limited	1000	

2.2.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.2.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs.14,23,759/-	
Insurance Policies			
2.	LIC policy (since 2002)	Premium - Rs.38,682/- per annum	

2.3 Investments of the Judge's Dependant No.1

2.3.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.3.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.3.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Term Deposit in Nationalized Bank	Rs.10,00,000/-	
Insurance Policies			
	ICICI policy	Premium -Rs.60,000/- per annum	year of commencement 2006 (later discontinued)

2.4 Investments of the Judge's Dependant No.2

2.4.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.4.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.4.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Term Deposit in Nationalized Bank	Rs.10,00,000/-	
Insurance Policies			
	ICICI policy	Premium -Rs.60,000/- per annum	year of commencement 2006 (later discontinued)

2.5 Investments of the Judge's Dependant No.3

2.5.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
1.	Bharat Biotech Limited	90,000	

2.5.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.5.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Biovet, Bangalore	Rs.50,00,000/-	
2.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs. 5,78,394/-	

3. Other remarks

Signature of the Chief Justice : sd/-

**DECLARATION OF ASSETS IN THE FORM OF REAL ESTATE OR
INVESTMENTS AS PER THE FULL COURT RESOLUTION OF SUPREME
COURT OF INDIA DATED 7th MAY, 1997**

**REVISED
AS ON 31-03-2016**

- A. Name of Judge: Justice N.V. Ramana
B. Name of Spouse: Mrs. N. Sivamala
C. Name(s) of Dependent Family Members and relationship:
C.1 Dependant : Mrs. N. Sarojini Devi (Mother)

NOTE : Ms. Dr. N.S. Bhuvana and Ms. N.S. Tanuja, daughters of the declarant are no more dependents.

1. Real Estate (immoveable property)

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Plot No.331/2RT, S.R. Nagar, Hyderabad (266 Sq. yds. With built up area of 4000 Sft.)	Acquired in the name of self and spouse vide Registered document dated 16.04.1994
2.	247.25 Sq. mtrs. plot at Noida	Allotted by High Court Judges Sahakari Avas Samithi Limited, on lease basis vide Registered document dated 20.04.2010
3.	One-fourth share in agricultural land (HUF) out of an extent of Acs.9.64 cents in . Ponnaram village, Veerlapadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Ancestral property
4.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.3.02 cents in Mirhipadu village, Sitanagaram Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 19.08.1997

1.2 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's Spouse

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Two house plots of 1652 Sq.yds. in Pecrancheruvu village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy Distt.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 27.06.2007

2.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.6.02 cents in Mirthipadu village, Sitanagaram Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired vide Registered documents dated 22.05.1996, 07.06.1996, 20.06.1996 and 24.07.1996
3.	220 Sq.yds plot in Koppuravuru village, Pedakakani Mandal, Guntur District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 03.01.1996
4.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.2.30 cents in Kanchikacherla Village and Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 06.07.2005
5.	One-third share in an extent of Acs.6.00 in Konthamuru village, Kolamuru revenue village, Rajahmundry Rural Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired through inheritance
6.	Agricultural land in an extent of Acs 4.00, Dharmaram village, Keesara village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 03.05.1999
7.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.3.00 in Dharmaram village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 24.01.2014

1.3 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant (Mother)

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	395.83 Sq. yds. Plot, Pedakakani village and Mandal, Guntur Distt	Acquired vide Registered document dated 11.01.2007

2.	1307.8 Sq.yds. plot situated in demarcation of Kanuru village, Patamata, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 13.12.2000
----	--	--

NOTE : Plot in (part) admeasuring 495 Sq.Yds. in Phase 9 of Kukatpally Housing Board, Kukatpally village, Ranga Reddy District held by the dependent was sold vide Registration dated 17-07-2015.

2. Investments

2.1 Investments of the Judge

2.1.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	Nil	Nil	Nil

2.1.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	Nil	Nil	Nil

2.1.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Amount	Remarks
1.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs. 3,87,429-00	
2.	Savings in GPF Account	Rs. 1,08,83,759-00	
3.	UCO Bank SB Account	Rs.13,94,681-91	
Insurance Policy			
4	LIC Policy (since 2001)	Premium Rs.37,949/- per annum	

2.2 Investments of the Judge's spouse

2.2.1 Shares

S. No.	Description	Number	Remarks
1.	IIBL, Power System	1000	
2.	Intertec Comm Equity	200	
3.	Kernex Microys Equity	60	

4	Sagarsoft (I) Ltd Equity	700	
5	Shree Rama M-Tech Equity	1200	
6	Tata Teleservices	1000	
7	Telphoto Ent New Equity	85	
8	Vintel Technologies	1500	
9	CCL Products India Limited	1000	

2.2.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	Nil	Nil	Nil

2.2.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/Weight/Amount	Remarks
1.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs.5,30,211/-	
Insurance Policies			
2.	LIC Policy (since 2002)	Premium Rs.38,682/- per annum	

2.3 Investments of the Judge's Dependant

2.3.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
1.	Bharat Biotech Limited	90,000	

2.3.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	Nil	Nil	Nil

2.3.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/Weight/Amount	Remarks
1.	Biovet. Bangalore	Rs.50,00,000/-	
2.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs.33,56,239/-	

3. Other remarks

Declaration of Assets in the form of real estate or investments as per the Full Court resolution (Supreme Court of India) dated 7th May, 1997 adopted by the Full Court of High Court of Delhi on 26th July, 1997 and re-iterated on 8th July, 2008.

- A. Name of Judge: Justice N.V. Ramana
- B. Name of Spouse: Mrs. N. Sivamala
- C. Name(s) of Dependent Family Members and relationship:
- C.1 Dependant No.1: Ms. N.S. Bhuvana (Daughter)
- C.2 Dependant No.2: Ms. N.V. Tanuja (Daughter)
- C.3 Dependant No. 3: Mrs. N. Sarojini Devi (Mother)

1. Real Estate (Immoveable property)

1.1 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Plot No.331/2RT, S.R. Nagar, Hyderabad (266 Sq. yds. With built up area of 4000 Sft.)	Acquired in the name of self and spouse vide Registered document dated 16.04.1994
2.	247.25 Sq. mtrs. plot at Noida	Allotted by High Court Judges Sahakari Avas Samithi Limited, on lease basis vide Registered document dated 20.04.2010
3.	One-fourth share in agricultural land (HUF) out of an extent of Acs.9.64 cents in Sy. No.151/2A, 151/2B, 151/2B2, Ponnaram village, Veerlapadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Ancestral property
4.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.3.02 cents in Sy. No.26-2B, 26-2C, Mirthipadu village, Sitanagaram Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 19.08.1997

1.2 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's Spouse

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Two house plots of 1652 Sq.yds. in Sy. Nos.24 and 25, Peerancheruvu village, Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy Distt.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 27.06.2007
2.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.6.02 cents in Sy. No.26/2A, 22/2, 27/1 of Mirthipadu village, Sitanagaram Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired vide Registered documents dated 22.05.1996, 07.06.1996, 20.06.1996 and 24.07.1996

3.	220 Sq.yds plot in Koppuravuru village, Pedakakani Mandal, Guntur District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 03.01.1996
4.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.2.30 cents in Sy. No.115/B, Kanchikacherla Village and Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 06.07.2005
5.	One-third share in an extent of Acs.6.00 in Sy. No.393/3, Konthamuru village, Kolamuru revenue village, Rajahmundry Rural Mandal, East Godavari District.	Acquired through inheritance
6.	Agricultural land in an extent of Acs.4.00, Dharmaram village, Keesara village and Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 03.05.1999
7.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.3.00 in Sy. No.53/A, 54, Dharmaram village, Keesara Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.	Agreement of Sale entered into in July, 2013.

1.3 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant No.1

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Agricultural land to an extent of Ac.0.17 cents in R.S. No.6/4, Velpur village, Kanikipadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 11.07.2013
2.	Agricultural land to an extent of Acs.5.07 cents in Sy. No.167, Gottimukala village, Kanchikacherla Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 22.07.2008
3.	Agricultural land to an extent of Ac.0.70 cents in R.S. No.6/5, Velpur village, Kankipadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Agreement of Sale entered into in July, 2013.

1.4 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant No.2

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	Agricultural land to an extent of Ac. 0.87 cents in R.S. No.6/4, Velpur village, Kanikipadu Mandal, Krishna District.	Acquired vide deed dated 11.07.2013

1.5 Real Estate (immoveable property) of the Judge's dependant No.3

S.No.	Description	Remarks
1.	395.83 Sq. yds. Plot, Pedakakani village and Mandal, Guntur District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 11.01.2007
2.	495 Sq. yds. Plot, in Sy. No.53, 54, 55(Part) in Phase 9 of Kukatpally Housing Board, Kukatpally village, Ranga Reddy District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 26.09.2003
3.	1307.8 Sq.yds. plot situated in demarcation/Sy. No. 104 of Kanuru village, Patamata, Krishna District.	Acquired vide Registered document dated 13.12.2000

2. Investments

2.1 Investments of the Judge

2.1.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.1.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.1.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Amount	Remarks
1.	State Bank of Hyderabad	Rs. 23,01,350/-	
2.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs. 10,033/-	
3.	Savings in GPF Account	Rs. 64,00,000/-	
4.	Saving Account, UCO Bank, Delhi High Court	Rs. 6,13,671/-	
Insurance Policies			
5.	LIC policy (since 2001)	Premium -Rs.37,949/- per annum	

2.2 Investments of the Judge's Spouse

2.2.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
1.	HBL Power System	1000	
2.	Intertec Comm Equity	200	

3.	Kernex Microsys – Equity	60	
4.	Sagarsoft (I) Ltd – Equity	700	
5.	Shree Rama M-Tech Equity	1200	
6.	Tata Teleservices	1000	
7.	Telphoto Ent – New Equity	85	
8.	Vantel Technologies	1500	
9.	CCL Products India Limited	1000	

2.2.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.2.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs.14,23,759/-	
Insurance Policies			
2.	LIC policy (since 2002)	Premium - Rs.38,682/- per annum	

2.3 Investments of the Judge's Dependant No.1

2.3.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.3.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.3.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Term Deposit in Nationalized Bank	Rs.10,00,000/-	
Insurance Policies			
	ICICI policy	Premium -Rs.60,000/- per annum	year of commencement 2006 (later discontinued)

2.4 Investments of the Judge's Dependant No.2

2.4.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.4.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.4.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Term Deposit in Nationalized Bank	Rs.10,00,000/-	
Insurance Policies			
	ICICI policy	Premium -Rs.60,000/- per annum	year of commencement 2006 (later discontinued)

2.5 Investments of the Judge's Dependant No.3

2.5.1 Shares

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
1.	Bharat Biotech Limited	90,000	

2.5.2 Mutual Funds

S.No.	Description	Number	Remarks
	-Nil-	-Nil-	-Nil-

2.5.3 Deposits and other Financial Investments

S.No.	Description	Number/ Weight/ Amount	Remarks
1.	Biovet, Bangalore	Rs.50,00,000/-	
2.	Andhra Bank SB Account	Rs. 5,78,394/-	

3. Other remarks

Signature of the Chief Justice : sd/-

Details of cases handled by Sri Dammalapati Srinivas before Justice Sri.N.V.Ramana:

S.No	Writ No.	Petition	Date of Disposal	On Merits	1. Withdrawal 2. Closed 3. Dismissed
1	4652/2008		04/03/2008	Order not clear	
2	28249/2007		30/04/2008	Allowed	
3	19164/2007		01/08/2008		
4	CC 900/2007		01/04/2008		
5	6523/2007		01/05/2009		Withdrawn
6	CRP 5710/2006		20/07/2007		
7	9837/2006		02/06/2010		Dismissed as infructuous.
8	9666/2005		11/06/2010		Dismissed as infructuous
9	26088/2005		15/04/2010		Dismissed as infructuous
10	23259/2004		15/12/2004		
11	22772/2004		09/12/2004		
12	22778/2004		15/12/2004	Order	
13	4652/2008		04/03/2007	Dismissal order	
14	6685/2008		15/07/2008		
15	4587/2008		15/07/2008 Common order for several writ petitions	While levying and collecting the labour cess, have to collect the same on the cost of the construction incurred by an employer and not the entire value of the work.	
16	6686/2008		15/07/2008	Do	
17	6684/2008		15/07/2008	Do	
	9180/2008		15/07/2008	Do	

18	7691/2008	17/06/2008	Allowed	
19	8086/2008	23/07/2008	With the direction disposed of	
20	22645/2004	15/12/2004		
21	22341/2004	28/01/2005		
22	21919/2004	29/11/2004		
23	21375/2004	23/11/2004		
24	32369/2004	23/11/2004		
25	21367/2004	02/12/2004		Withdrawn
26	11958/2004	14/07/2004		
27	10732/2004	29/06/2004		
28	9892/2004	17/06/2004		
29	9878/2004	17/06/2004		
30	2539/2003	02/09/2005		Dismissed as infructuous
31	2396/2003	26/08/2010		
32	22348/2002	12/08/2005	Dismissed	
33	3272/2001 3279/2001 8583/2001	05/01/2006	Dismissed	
34	12680/2000	16/06/2005		Dismissed as infructuous
35	9316/2008	07/11/2008	Dismissed with a direction	
36	9184/2008	15/07/2008		
37	9316/2008			Withdrawn
38	9432/2008	28/04/2008	Order	
39	9659/2008	29/04/2008	Order	
40	12378/2008	14/07/2008	Prayer fulfilled , thereby no further orders are	

			necessary.	
41	12217/2008	13/06/2008	Directed the respondents to consider and dispose of the application Expeditiously till such time status quo shall be maintained.	
42	12675/2008	19/06/2008	Order	
43	3507/2008	09/07/2008	Order	
44	15231/2008	31/07/2008	Order	
45	15821/2008, 16069/2008, 16071/2008, 17624/2008, 17636/2008	25/08/2010	District Collector, Kammam Directed to consider the applications filed by the petitioners seeking regularization of respective lands	
46	18709/2008	09/09/2008	Dismissed	
47	15880/2008	23/09/2008		Withdrawn
48	24506/2008	08/04/2011	Dismissed	
49	1832/2009	01/05/2009	Allowed	
50	2158/2009	20/02/2009	The respondents are not going to touch the property. Accordingly writ is closed.	
51	3074/2009	18/02/2009	As agreed the writ is disposed of with a direction to consider the representation and to take action....	
52	4445/2009	06/03/2009	Directed to consider	
53	6818/2009	06/04/2009	Directing to consider	
54	9365/2009	30/04/2009	Directing to consider	
55	13727/2009	10/07/2009	Directing to consider	

56	CRP 4549/2009	17/12/2009	Granted interim stay	
57	CRP 4372/2009	20/04/2011	Allowed with an condition	
58	20190/2009	18/09/2009		
59	CRP 1727/2010	26/11/2010	Dismissed	
60	23457/2010	01/10/2010	Allowed	
61	CC 1464/2010	08/10/2010	No contempt can be alleged. Hence case is closed.	
62	CRP 5254/2010	29/04/2011	Allowed	
63	12680/2000	16/06/2005		Dismissed as having become infructuous
64	8583/2001	05/01/2006	Dismissed	
65	22348/2002	12/08/2005	Dismissed	
66	2396/2003	26/08/2010	Order	
67	2539/2003	02/09/2005		Dismissed as having infructuous
68	6115/2003	01/06/2010		Infructuous
69	19531/2004	18/07/2006	Order	
70	9878/2004	17/06/2004	Allowed	
71	9892/2004	17/06/2004	Allowed	
72	10732/2004	29/06/2004		Dismissed
73	11958/2004	14/07/2004		Dismissed
74	21367/2004	02/12/2004		Withdrawn
75	21369/2004	23/11/2004	Directed the respondent to pass appropriate orders afresh	
76	21375/2004	23/11/2004	Same	
77	21919/2004	29/11/2004	To consider and dispose of the representation of the	

			action...	
111	4445/2009	06/03/2009	Directed to consider the application	
112	6818/2009	06/04/2009	Directed to consider the application	
113	9365/2009	30/04/2009	Directed to consider the application	
114	13727/2009	10/07/2009	Directed to consider the representation	
115	CRP 4549/2009	17/12/2009		
116	CRP 4372/2009	20/04/2011	Allowed on condition....	
117	20190/2009	18/09/2009		
118	CRP 1727/2010	26/11/2010		Dismissed
119	23457/2010	01/10/2010	Allowed	
120	CC 1464/2010	08/10/2010	No contempt. Case is closed.	
121	CRP 5254/2010	29/04/2011	Allowed.	